







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/873,564	06/04/2001	Mark Josephus Lucien Maria Van Dommelen	BE000011 4219		
75	590 01/16/2003				
U.S. Philips Corporation		EXAMINER			
580 White Plair Tarrytown, NY			LEVI, DA	MEON E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Y				
٠		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
•		09/873,564	09/873,564 VAN DOMMELEN ET .		ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dameon E Levi	1	2841					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how oly within the statutory min will apply and will expire the, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be timel nimum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from th o become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	November 2002							
-,∠⊒ 2a)⊠		his action is non-f	inal.						
3)									
· <u> </u>	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3 is/are pending in the application								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
′=	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.								
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oion Papers	or election require	ment.						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exam	iner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been rece	eived in Application	No					
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCŢ Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
) \square The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes								
Attachmen	t(s)		-						
2) 🔲 Notic	re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (I Notice of Informal Pa Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/873,564

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Verschueren US Patent 5612285 in view of Thornton US Patent 4315193

Regarding claim 1, Verschueren discloses a high pressure discharge lamp comprising:
a discharge vessel which is enveloped with clearance by an outer bulb provided with a
lamp cap, which outer bulb is translucent, characterized in that the outer bulb is
substantially tubular in shape (for example, see elements 3,1,2, Fig 1).

Verschueren does not disclose that the outer bulb is provided with a light-scattering
layer.

Thornton discloses a discharge lamp wherein the outer bulb is provided with a light-scattering layer (for example, see element 42, Fig 3, see column 4, lines 18-25)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a light-scattering layer on the outer bulb as taught by Thornton in the discharge lamp assembly of Verschueren for the purpose of improving color rendition of the discharge lamp (cited by Thornton column 4, lines 26-62).

Application/Control Number: 09/873,564

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 3, forming an electrostatic coating by using a light scattering layer is a known process in the art (cited by Thornton column 3, lines 67- column 4, line 2).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dameon E Levi whose telephone number is (703) 305-0426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (9:00 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0058.

Dameon E Levi Examiner Art Unit 2841

DEL January 2, 2003

DAVID MARTIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800